



ISSN: 2454-9940



**INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF APPLIED
SCIENCE ENGINEERING AND MANAGEMENT**

E-Mail :
editor.ijasem@gmail.com
editor@ijasem.org

www.ijasem.org

Neuropsychiatric side effects described by patients using bictegravir with emtricitabine/tenofovir alafenamide

Dr.K. Rajkiran¹, D. Uha Rani², Dr. Swathi Nageswara³

Abstract

The integrase-inhibitor bictegravir combination antiretroviral therapy (ART) Biktarvy became available in Australia in October 2018. Neuropsychiatric adverse drug reactions (ADRs) are associated with bictegravir and may affect persistence and adherence to treatment. The aim of this study was to describe the type and frequency of reported neuropsychiatric reactions in people dispensed Biktarvy. Ethics approval was obtained from Alfred Hospital Ethics Committee (Project No. 541/20). Data were collected from records of people dispensed Biktarvy between October 2018 and May 2020 and who subsequently had a new neuropsychiatric reaction reported to the organisation's ADR Review Committee. Data were sourced from ADR reports, medical and dispensing records, and included demographics, medical history, and concurrent medicines with known psychiatric adverse reactions. Data were analysed descriptively. Biktarvy was dispensed to 1265 patients. Twenty-two (1.7%, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.0–2.5%) people reported 50 neuropsychiatric ADRs, including abnormal dreams ($n = 13$), sleep disorders ($n = 5$), and headaches ($n = 5$). The median time from initiation to reaction was 13 (interquartile range [IQR] 4–94) days. Eighteen patients discontinued Biktarvy (1.4%, 95% CI 0.85–2.24). There was no statistically significant difference in discontinuation of Biktarvy between people who did or did not have a pre-existing psychiatric diagnosis ($p = 0.58$). Concurrent medicines with known psychiatric adverse reactions were used by 10 people. A low rate of reported neuropsychiatric ADRs lead to discontinuation of Biktarvy, similar to rates in Biktarvy trials. This study adds to the post-marketing surveillance data of Biktarvy tolerance among people living with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV).

Keywords: adverse drug reactions, bictegravir, anti-infectives, neuropsychiatric adverse effects, antiretroviral.

INTRODUCTION

First-line therapy for treatment naïve Australians with HIV-1 is an integrase inhibitor-based regimen.¹ Options for this regimen include a combination tablet consisting of bictegravir, tenofovir alafenamide, and emtricitabine (Biktarvy), which became available in Australia in October 2018 to a limited number of people prior to listing on the Pharmaceutical Benefits Scheme (PBS) on 1 March 2019. Biktarvy provides an advantage over existing treatment options due to the single tablet combination therapy, once-daily dosing and no requirement for HLA-B*57:01 testing prior to initiation. Adherence and persistence to antiretroviral therapy is essential to reduce the transmission, morbidity, and mortality of HIV.^{3,4} Persistence can be affected by adverse rea-

ctions.⁵ Biktarvy discontinuation rates from neuropsychiatric adverse reactions in the initial randomised controlled trials (RCTs) were reported to be 0–1%.⁶

⁹ Neuropsychiatric adverse reactions appear to be associated with the integrase strand transfer inhibitor class and have been more frequently associated with dolutegravir.

¹⁰ Neuropsychiatric adverse reactions identified in the original trials for Biktarvy included headache and insomnia.⁶

^{7,9} Post-marketing evidence indicates, however, that neuropsychiatric reactions may be of greater concern with Biktarvy.¹¹ This study aimed to investigate the frequency and nature of neuropsychiatric adverse reactions reported in people dispensed Biktarvy.

Principal & Professor¹, Associate Professor³
rajkiran.kolakota@gmail.com
Sri Sivani College of Pharmacy

METHOD

Setting

This retrospective study was conducted at a tertiary referral health service in Melbourne, Victoria, Australia that provides state-wide HIV services. The pharmacy services at two different locations within our organisation dispense antiretroviral medicines to people living with HIV under the care of four organisation and shared-care specialist general practitioners (GPs).

Clinicians at our organisation are actively encouraged to report potential adverse drug reactions (ADRs) using the organisation's reporting system. Patients' experience of treatment is reviewed at every consultation and on collection of dispensed medicines. Reported reactions are documented on an ADR report form by a health professional and forwarded to the Medication Safety pharmacist. The ADR report captures demographics and reaction

details including date of onset, suspected medicine/s, description of events and reaction/s, management, and outcome of reaction. As part of the fortnightly review by the institution's multidisciplinary ADR review committee comprising medical clinicians (clinical pharmacology, dermatology, infectious diseases, and allergy specialists) and pharmacists (medication safety, medicines information, and clinical specialists), causality is ascertained by the application of the Naranjo scale and assigned as being unlikely, possible, probable, or definite.¹² Ethics approval was obtained from Alfred Hospital Ethics Committee (Project No. 541/20).

Inclusion Criteria

People aged 18 years and over were considered eligible for the study if they were dispensed Biktarvy at least once between October 2018 to May 2020 and had a new neuropsychiatric ADR report submitted prior to October 2020. A neuropsychiatric ADR was defined as either an anxiety disorder or psychiatric disorder, as classified in the Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities.¹³

Outcomes

The main outcome was the reported incidence of neuropsychiatric symptoms. We also considered pre-existing psychiatric diagnoses and concurrent medicines, and further reviewed whether people continued treatment with Biktarvy after experiencing a neuropsychiatric ADR.

Data Collection

Data from the ADR report, pharmacy dispensing system (iPharmacy [Dedalus Global, Milan, Lombardy, Italy]) and medical records were collated using REDCap (Research Electronic Data Capture [Vanderbilt, Nashville, TN, USA]) software. Where people accessed shared-care with a GP, missing information was obtained directly from the GP. ADR details were extracted, including the date of onset, symptom description, and management. Medical records were used to identify relevant medical history, treatment regimen used prior to Biktarvy and past adverse reactions to antiretrovirals. Concurrent medicines were collated from each source to create a best possible medicine list, and the product information for these was used to identify known psychiatric adverse reactions.

Data Analysis

Descriptive statistics were used to determine frequency of neuropsychiatric ADRs and describe patient characteristics. Fisher's exact test was used to compare proportions for rates of discontinuation and 95% confidence intervals were calculated for proportions.

RESULTS

There were 1265 people who had Biktarvy dispensed from the two dispensing sites. An ADR was reported for 35 (2.8%) people, of whom 22 (1.7%, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.0–2.5%) experienced at least one neuro-psychiatric symptom, with a combined total of 50 neuropsychiatric symptoms reported. The median time from commencement of Biktarvy to ADR emergence was 13 (interquartile range [IQR] 4–94) days. One person who had a severe reaction presented to the emergency department; none required hospitalisation (Table 1).

Most people had a combination of symptoms. Abnormal dreams were the most frequently reported neuropsychiatric adverse reaction ($n = 13$), followed by sleep disorders ($n = 5$), and headaches ($n = 5$). Less frequently reported neuropsychiatric adverse reactions included amnesia, suicidal ideation, depression, fear, somnolence, panic attack, neurocognitive impairment, paranoia, and decreased libido. One person who experienced depressed mood (in conjunction with other

symptoms) had a past medical history of depression and another per-

son who experienced abnormal dreams and paranoia and

Table 1 Characteristics of 22 people with reported neuropsychiatric adverse events to Biktarvy

Variable	n(%) (unless specified)
Male	21 (95.5%)
Female	1 (4.5%)
Age at time of ADR (median [IQR])	49.3 years [40.4–55.8]
Year of HIV diagnosis (n=19) (median [IQR])	2007 [2002–2013]
HIV care provided by health network	9 (41%)
HIV care provided by GP	13 (59%)
Treatment naïve	1 (4.5%)
Treatment experienced	21 (95.5%)
Past ADRs to antiretrovirals	3 (13.6%)
Relevant past medical history (n=17) [†]	
Bipolar disorder	1 (5.9%)
Depression	8 (47.1%)
Anxiety	5 (29.4%)
Addictions and substance misuse	2 (11.8%)
Nil psychiatry history	6 (35.3%)
Concurrent medicine at the time of ADR reporting (n=17) [†]	
Cardiovascular	2 (11.8%)
Antidepressants	7 (41.2%)
Antipsychotics	3 (17.6%)
Mood stabiliser	1 (5.9%)
Anxiolytics	2 (11.8%)
Other	3 (17.6%)
Nil medicines	7 (41.2%)
Antiretroviral regimen prior to Biktarvy (n=21)	
DTG/TAF/FTC	4 (19%)
RAL/TAF/FTC	2 (9.5%)
RPV/TAF/FTC	1 (4.8%)
EVG/COBI/TAF/FTC	5 (22.7%)
DRV/COBI/RPV/TAF/FTC	1 (4.8%)
EVG/COBI/TDF/FTC	1 (4.8%)
EFV/TDF/FTC	1 (4.8%)
NVP/ABC/3TC	3 (14.3%)
NVP/ZDV/3TC	1 (4.8%)
NVP/TAF/FTC	2 (9.5%)

3TC = lamivudine; ABC = abacavir; ADR = antiretroviral therapy; COBI = cobicistat; DTG = dolutegravir; DRV = darunavir; EFV = efavirenz; EVG = elvitegravir; FTC = emtricitabine; GP = general practitioner; HIV = human immunodeficiency virus; IQR = interquartile range; NVP = nevirapine; RPV = rilpivirine; RAL = raltegravir; TAF = tenofovir alafenamide; TDF = tenofovir disoproxil fumarate; ZDV = zidovudine. [†]Miscellaneous

a past medical history of substance (amphetamine) use. Amongst people who experienced neuropsychiatric symptoms, eight people also experienced non-neuropsychiatric effects, specifically those that affected the gastrointestinal (nausea, diarrhoea, abdominal cramps; n=5), metabolic

Table 2 ADR causality and severity rating n = 22

ADR causality assessment using the Naranjo algorithm ¹²	n(%)
Probable	12 (54.5%)
Possible	10 (45.5%)
ADR severity rating	
Mild	4 (18.2%)
Moderate	16 (72.7%)
Severe	2 (9.1%)

(weight gain; n = 2), and musculoskeletal (myalgia; n = 1) systems.

Fourteen (64%) people had recovered at the time the ADR report was submitted. There were six people who had not recovered at the time, reporting parasomnias (n = 5) and headache (n = 1). The outcome was unknown for the remaining two people, who both reported experiencing sleep disorders with abnormal dreams.

ADR review found neuropsychiatric reactions were either 'possible' or 'probable' causally-related to Biktarvy and were reported to the Therapeutic Goods Administration (TGA). The majority (81.8%) were classified as moderate or severe reactions (Table 2).¹⁴

Continuation with Biktarvy

Four people continued Biktarvy treatment after experiencing neuropsychiatric symptoms. For those people

who continued, the reported ADRs were abnormal dreams ($n = 3$), nightmares ($n = 2$), and one of each paranoia, somnolence, and lethargy. Eighteen people ceased Biktarvy, providing a population discontinuation rate, due to neuropsychiatric adverse reactions, of 1.4% (95% CI 0.9–2.2%). These people experienced a combined 42 neuropsychiatric symptoms that included abnormal dreams ($n = 10$), sleep disorders ($n = 5$), and headache ($n = 5$), as well as anxiety, depression, and depressed mood ($n = 6$).

A pre-existing diagnosis of at least one psychiatric medical condition was identified in half ($n = 11$) and no prior history identified in one-quarter ($n = 6$) of patients reporting neuropsychiatric symptoms. We were unable to ascertain if a pre-existing diagnosis existed in the remainder ($n = 5$). The rate of discontinuation between people with a pre-existing psychiatric diagnosis (81.8%, $n = 9$) did not differ from that of people with no pre-existing psychiatric diagnosis (66.7%, $n = 4$; $p = 0.58$).

Concurrent medicines associated with psychiatric symptoms were used by 10 (46%) people at the time of the report (Table 1). One person had commenced rosvastatin one month prior to commencing Biktarvy; on discontinuation of Biktarvy the neuropsychiatric adverse reaction resolved. This person reported experiencing headaches, myalgia, dizziness, and abnormal dreams leading to insomnia. The other nine people had been on their medications for at least six months prior to commencing Biktarvy.

DISCUSSION

Fewer than 2% of 1265 people dispensed Biktarvy spontaneously reported neuropsychiatric symptoms to their clinician or pharmacist. Symptoms most frequently reported were abnormal dreams, sleep disorders, and headaches. The majority of people experiencing neuropsychiatric symptoms subsequently ceased Biktarvy, suggesting these adverse reactions are poorly tolerated.

Our study found that abnormal dreams occurred more frequently than headaches, in contrast to RCT data. Abnormal dreams were identified in only one of 282 participants in one RCT.⁹ A sleep quality questionnaire was included in three RCTs.^{6,8,9} Headache was the most common neuropsychiatric adverse event reported in four RCTs of Biktarvy.⁶

⁹This difference may be related to the use of spontaneous ADR reporting in our study rather than adverse reaction surveillance in RCTs, and/or patients and clinicians not reporting headache as an adverse reaction of Biktarvy.

We identified that 1.4% (CI 0.9–2.2) of all people dispensed Biktarvy discontinued treatment secondary to neuropsychiatric ADRs. This rate is comparable to discontinuation rates associated with headaches, mood disorders, schizophrenia, abnormal dreams, insomnia and sleep disorders in the original phase 3 RCTs (range

0–1%).^{6,9} Another single-centre, post-marketing, retrospective study observed a 3.3% (CI 2.2–4.6%) discontinuation rate at six months, the majority of which were insomnia/sleep disturbances.¹¹ That study found that discontinuation of Biktarvy secondary to neuropsychiatric adverse reactions was independently associated with people with a pre-existing depression diagnosis. We did not observe any association of a pre-existing psychiatric diagnosis with discontinuation of Biktarvy.

The main limitation of this study was the use of spontaneous ADR reports. As such, our ability to estimate the true rate of neuropsychiatric ADRs is likely an underestimate. Direct participant interviews, prospective surveillance or review of medical records of the entire cohort dispensed Biktarvy may have identified further cases. Approximately half of our cohort were primarily managed by a GP so ADR reports may not have been completed or may have been submitted elsewhere, increasing the likelihood of underestimation of the true incidence of neuropsychiatric ADRs. The use of medical records to identify relevant medical history and concurrent medicines was reliant on adequate documentation, which may further contribute to underestimation of associated events. Several people who reported neuropsychiatric adverse reactions also reported non-neuropsychiatric adverse reactions, which may have led to the discontinuation of Biktarvy.

CONCLUSION

This study from a large cohort prescribed Biktarvy found a low rate of neuropsychiatric adverse reactions and a comparable discontinuation rate to RCTs. This research adds to the real-life post-marketing data of Biktarvy tolerance amongst people living with HIV and has facilitated patient counselling about the risk of adverse reactions for our clinic patients. Monitoring and reporting of ADRs after the introduction of a new medicine highlights areas for further research, eventually contributing to improved medicines safety.

REFERENCES

- 1 Australasian Society for HIV, Viral Hepatitis and Sexual Health Medicine (ASHM). Which regimen to use in the individual with HIV infection. Sydney: ASHM; 2019. Available from <<https://hivmanagement.ashm.org.au/initiation>

- [of-antiretroviral-therapy-in-the-treatment-naive-patient/which-regimen-to-use-in-the-individual-with-hiv-infection/>](#).
- 2 BrooksKM, ShermanEM, EgelundEF, BrothertonA, DurhamS, BadowskiME, et al. Integrase inhibitors: after 10 years of experience, is the best yet to come? *Pharmacotherapy* 2019; 39:576–98.
 - 3 PatersonDL, SwindellsS, MohrJ, BresterM, VergisEN, SquierC, et al. Adherence to protease inhibitor therapy and outcomes in patients with HIV infection. *Ann Intern Med* 2000; 133:21–30.
 - 4 RodgerAJ, CambianoV, BruunT, VernazzaP, CollinsS, van LunzenJ, et al. Sexual activity without condoms and risk of HIV transmission in serodifferent couples when the HIV-positive partner is using suppressive antiretroviral therapy. *JAMA* 2016; 316:171–81.
 - 5 DiBiagioA, Cozzi-LepriA, PrinaP, AngaranoG, GoriA, QuirinoT, et al. Discontinuation of initial antiretroviral therapy in clinical practice: moving toward individualized therapy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2016; 71:263–71.
 - 6 WohlDA, YazdanpanahY, BaumgartenA, ClarkeA, ThompsonMA, BrinsonC, et al. Bictegravir combined with emtricitabine and tenofovirafenamide versus dolutegravir, abacavir, and lamivudine for initial treatment of HIV-1 infection: week 96 results from a randomised, double-blind, multicentre, phase 3, non-inferiority trial. *Lancet HIV* 2019; 6:e355–e363.
 - 7 DaarES, DeJesusE, RuaneP, CrofootG, OguchiG, CreticosC, et al. Efficacy and safety of switching to fixed-dose bictegravir,