ISSN: 2454-9940



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF APPLIED SCIENCE ENGINEERING AND MANAGEMENT

E-Mail : editor.ijasem@gmail.com editor@ijasem.org





MODELLING AND ANALYSIS OF FLIGHT WING USING ANSYS AND CATIA

¹Dr.Y. NAGINI, ²G.SAI NARAYANA, ³N.SRI PAVAN, ⁴A. SATWIK

¹(Assistant professor), ^{2,3,4}(Scholars) Mechanical Engineering Department, Chaitanya Bharathi Institute of Technology (A), Hyderabad.

ABSTRACT

An aircraft is a machine that is able to fly by gaining support from the air. It counters the force of gravity by using either static lift or by using the dynamic lift of an airfoil, or in a few cases the downward thrust from jet engines.

A wing is of fin that a type produces lift, while moving through air or fluid. other As such. wings some have streamlined cross-sections that are subject to aerodynamic forces and act as an airfoils. A wing's aerodynamic efficiency is expressed as its lift-to-drag ratio.

In this project wing structure of the ultra light aircraft was developed by using cad tool (CATIA) and analyzed with CAE TOOL (ANSYS WORKBENCH)

Our aim of the project is to reduce the stresses on the wing structure to increase its strength to weight ratio. To do this 2wing structures were developed (NACA 0012 &0016) analyzing with existing material (al-7075) and with CFRP (Carbon Reinforced Polymer) kevlar. From the results we can say which wing structure and which combination of material would be better for ultra light aircraft model

1. INTRODUCTION

Aircraft

An airplane is a machine that can fly with support from the air. It exerts a gravitational pull by using a static lift or by using the dynamic lift of the airfoil, or in some cases downward thrust from jet engines.

Human activity around an aircraft is called aviation. Crude aircraft are flown by an onboard pilot, while unmanned aerial vehicles can be remotely controlled or selfcontrolled by onboard computers. Aircraft can be classified by various criteria such as



lift type, aircraft propulsion, consumption and so on.

Fixed-wing aircraft

A fixed-wing aircraft is an airplane-like aircraft capable of flying using the wings that produce lift due to the vehicle's forward airspeed and wing shape. Fixed-wing aircraft are different from rotary-wing aircraft in that the wings form a rotor mounted on a spinning shaft and form ornithoppers, in which the wings flap like a bird.

Wing

A wing is a type of fin that produces lift when moving through air or other fluid. Also, the wings have streamlined crosssections that are subject to aerodynamic forces and act as airfoils. The aerodynamic capacity of the wing is expressed as its liftto-drag ratio. At a given speed and angle of attack the size of the wing generated is one to two orders of magnitude greater than the total drag on the wing. A high lift-to-drag ratio requires a considerably smaller thrust to drive the wings through the air at an adequate lift. Lifting structures used in water contain a variety of flakes, including hydrofoils. Hydrodynamics is a governing science rather than aerodynamics. Applications of underwater flakes take place on hydroplanes, sailboats and submarines.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

T.V. Baughn and P.F. Packman [1] in 1986, led a limited component examination to decide the underlying trustworthiness of a high-wing link upheld ultralight airplane. A straightforward, even, half-structure full scale model was dissected and exposed to even out flight stacking and two-wheellanding stacking conditions. Flexural and bowing firmness for the upheld and unsupported wing still up in the air. A fundamental harm resistance examination was led in which chose link components and wing pressure swaggers were taken out, the reallocated loads determined, and conceivable airplane flight designs analyzed. The model can produce every link load, uprooting of each underlying hub (for each stacking condition), create dislodging plots, and find possible exceptionally focused areas.

Baughn, T. what's more, Johnson, D. [2] around the same time of 1986, proposed a



plan change from high-wing link upheld to swagger upheld airplane. One of the most well-known plans is the high wing link upheld ultralight. On account of its basic and strategy for development shape proprietors like to change the design and streamlined surfaces to endeavor to work on the exhibition of the airplane. One of the more normal change demands is for the transformation from a link upheld to a swagger upheld airplane. The target of the adjustment is to diminish the drag and work on the exhibition of the ultralight. The reason for their review is to decide the primary presentation of the link upheld airplane and contrast it with the underlying exhibition of a swagger upheld rendition of a similar airplane and to give a gauge of the adjustment of drag related with the transformation from link upheld to swagger upheld.

Girish S. Kulkarni [3] in 1987, with the assistance of all the plan rules given by Baughn, T alongside considering basic condition in un-sped up flight, done a Finite component strategy based foundational layout to break down the way of behaving of a plane under Aerodynamic stacking.

3.MATERIAL SELECTION

AL-7075-T6:

Aluminum alloy 7075 is an aluminum alloy, the basic alloying element of zinc. It is strong, comparable in strength to many steels, and has good fatigue strength and average machining efficiency, but is less resistant to corrosion than many other alloys. Its relatively high cost limits its use to applications that are not suitable for cheap alloys. The composition of the 7075 aluminum alloy is approximately 5.6-6.1% zinc, 2.1–2.5% magnesium, 1.2-1.6% copper and less than half a percent of silicon, iron, manganese, titanium, chromium and other metals. It is produced in many formats, some of them 7075-0, 7075-T6, 7075-T651

Carbon fiber reinforced polymer

Carbon fiber uphold polymer, carbon fiber developed plastic or carbon fiber development thermoplastic (CFRP, CRP, CFRTP or even conventional carbon fiber or carbon), is a very rich and lightweight fiberup plastic containing carbon fibers. 'Fiber' spelling is common in British Commonwealth countries.

CFRPs can be expensive to convey yet are by and large used any spot high fortitude toweight extent and unyielding nature are

www.ijasem.org

Vol 18, Issue 2, 2024



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF APPLIED CIENCE ENGINEERING AND MANAGEMENT

required, similar to flying, vehicle, underlying planning, sports items and a rising number of other client and specific applications.

Kevlar

Kevlar is the enlisted brand name for a paraaramid produced fiber, associated with other aramids like Nomex and Technora. Made by Stephanie Kwolek at DuPont in 1965, this high-strength material was first fiscally used during the 1970s as a replacement for steel in hustling tires. Routinely it is transformed into ropes or surface sheets that can be used likewise or as a fixing in composite material parts.

At this moment, Kevlar has various applications, going from bicycle tires and hustling sails to body support, because of its high inflexibility to-weight extent; by this activity it is on numerous occasions more grounded than steel. Moreover used to make current drumheads persevere through high impact. Right when used as a woven material, it is sensible for getting lines and other lowered applications

FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS OF WING RIBS AND STRINGERS

ANSYS PROCESS



| | Cfrp90 | Kevlar | Al-7075-t6 |
|---------------------|--------|--------|------------|
| Young's modules(pa) | 44.9e9 | 83e9 | 71.7E^9 |
| Poison ratio | 0.10 | 0.29 | 0.33 |
| Density(kg/m^3) | 1760 | 1440 | 2810 |
| Yield strength(Mpa) | 474 | 650 | 503 |

Above mentioned materials need to be entered in engineering data, and after entering material properties now import wing that developed in CATIA and the imported model, is shown in below image.

After importing object then assign material properties to wing spars and ribs, here first al-7075 material consider is existing material for both wing spars and ribs, after completing analysis in it then change the materials of ribs and stringers into composite materials. Each time al-7075 material is replaced with composite material, and comparing results of each case.





Meshing

In real world when loads applied on any object, it will transfer throughout object with the help of atoms and molecules, but in software, it is not possible to create those atoms and molecules, so that here meshing option create elements and nodes, and these elements and nodes will act as atoms and molecules. Here hexa meshing were used. In addition, this meshing is created default based on their shape and structure. Here element size is consider as 1mm.



Loads and Boundary Conditions

ISSN2454-9940 www.ijasem.org Vol 18, Issue 2, 2024

After completing materials assignment and meshing process, now need to apply boundary conditions on it, here object is consider as cantilever beam, so that object is fixed at one end, and the load will be applied on top of the area, here maximum pressure value which wing can withstand calculated based safety factor values, here pressure values applied multiple times and checking factor of safety values,



When factor of safety is near to 1.5 or above one is consider as maximum limit of the object/material, in this process al-7075 material with NACA 0016 wing can withstand maximum pressure on it 14Mpa only.

After applying load on it now calculating results like deformation, stress, strain, safety factor values.



Results

NACA 0016 wing structure

Material: al-7075

Deformation



Above image, represent the NACA 0016 wing deformation results when it has al-7075 material for both ribs and spars, and it has maximum deformation of 65.019mm and minimum deformation value of 0mm.

Stress



Above image, represent the NACA 0016 wing stress results when it has al-7075 material for both ribs and spars, and it has maximum stress of 417.03Mpa and minimum stress value of 0.27299Mpa.

ISSN2454-9940 www.ijasem.org Vol 18, Issue 2, 2024

Safety factor



From the above results here we got maximum stress value is 417.03Mpa and safety factor value is 1.2061 and generally we cannot eliminate complete stresses on the body but we can reduce it by changing design and material properties values. Here we took al-7075 material for both ribs and stringers. To decrease the stress and increase the strength to weight ratio here we changing materials, i.e we took another two materials those are CFRP and KEVLAR materials

Applying same amount of boundary conditions on it and calculated results

Results Ribs: al-7075 Stringers: CFRP Deformation

www.ijasem.org

Vol 18, Issue 2, 2024



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF APPLIED

ICE ENGINEERING AND MANAGEMENT

Above image, represent the NACA 0016 wing deformation results when it has al-7075 material for ribs and CFRP material for spars, and it has maximum deformation of 86.797mm and minimum deformation value of 0mm.

Stress



Above image, represent the NACA 0016 wing stress results when it has al-7075 material for ribs and CFRP material for spars, and it has maximum stress of 434.27Mpa and minimum stress value 0.18297Mpa.



Ribs: CFRP

Stringers: al-7075

Deformation



Above image, represent the NACA 0016 wing deformation results when it has al-7075 material for stringers and CFRP material for ribs, and it has maximum deformation of 85.716mm and minimum deformation value of 0mm.

Stress

Safety factor



www.ijasem.org

Vol 18, Issue 2, 2024



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF APPLIED

Above image, represent the NACA 0016 wing stress results when it has al-7075 material for stringers and CFRP material for ribs, and it has maximum stress of 439.07Mpa and minimum stress value of 0.28564Mpa.



Stress



Safety factor



Ribs: Kevlar

Stringers: al-7075

Deformation

Safety factor



Ribs: al-7075 Stringers: Kevlar Deformation



www.ijasem.org

Vol 18, Issue 2, 2024



Stress



Safety factor



Tables

| | Deformation(mm) | Stress(Mpa) | Safety factor |
|---|-----------------|-------------|---------------|
| Al-7075 | 65.019 | 417.03 | 1.2061 |
| <u>Cfrp</u> (stringers) &al- 7075 (ribs) | 86.797 | 434.27 | 1.1583 |
| <u>Cfrp</u> (ribs) &al-7075 (stringers) | 85.716 | 439.07 | 1.0796 |
| Kevlar (stringers)&al-7075 (ribs) | 60.447 | 409.77 | 1.2275 |
| Kevlar (ribs) &al- 7075 (stringers) | 61.216 | 425.15 | 1.5289 |

Graphs

Deformation



Stress



Safety factor





ANALYSIS PROCESS FOR NACA 0012 MODEL

After importing object then assign material properties to wing spars and ribs, here first al-7075 material consider is existing material for both wing spars and ribs, after completing analysis in it then change the materials of ribs and stringers into composite materials. Each time al-7075 material is replaced with composite material, and comparing results of each case.



Meshing

In real world when loads applied on any object, it will transfer throughout object with the help of atoms and molecules, but in software, it is not possible to create those atoms and molecules, so that here meshing option create elements and nodes, and these elements and nodes will act as atoms and molecules. Here hexa meshing were used. In addition, this meshing is created default ISSN2454-9940 www.ijasem.org Vol 18, Issue 2, 2024

based on their shape and structure. Here element size is consider as 1mm.



Loads and Boundary Conditions

After completing materials assignment and meshing process, now need to apply boundary conditions on it, here object is consider as cantilever beam, so that object is fixed at one end, and the load will be applied on top of the area, here maximum pressure value which wing can withstand calculated based safety factor values, here pressure values applied multiple times and checking factor of safety values,





When factor of safety is near to 1.5 or above one is consider as maximum limit of the object/material, in this process al-7075 material with NACA 0012 wing can withstand maximum pressure on it 14Mpa only.

After applying load on it now calculating results like deformation, stress, strain, safety factor values.

Results

NACA 0012 wing structure

Material: al-7075

Deformation



Above image, represent the NACA 0012 wing deformation results when it has al-7075 material for both ribs and spars, and it has maximum deformation of 54.944mm and minimum deformation value of 0mm. www.ijasem.org

Vol 18, Issue 2, 2024

Stress



Above image, represent the NACA 0012 wing stress results when it has al-7075 material for both ribs and spars, and it has maximum stress of 354.14Mpa and minimum stress value of 0.26963Mpa.

Safety factor



From the above results here we got maximum stress value is 354.14Mpa and safety factor value is 1.4203 and generally we cannot eliminate complete stresses on the body but we can reduce it by changing design and material properties values. Here



we took al-7075 material for both ribs and stringers. To decrease the stress and increase the strength to weight ratio here we changing materials, i.e we took another two materials those are CFRP and KEVLAR materials

Applying same amount of boundary conditions on it and calculated results

Results

Ribs: al-7075

Stringers: CFRP

Deformation



Above image, represent the NACA 0012 wing deformation results when it has al-7075 material for ribs and CFRP material for spars, and it has maximum deformation of 71.549mm and minimum deformation value of 0mm.

ISSN2454-9940

www.ijasem.org

Vol 18, Issue 2, 2024



Above image, represent the NACA 0016 wing stress results when it has al-7075 material for ribs and CFRP material for spars, and it has maximum stress of 362.63Mpa and minimum stress value 0.12835Mpa.

Safety factor



Ribs: CFRP Stringers: al-7075 Deformation

Stress

www.ijasem.org

Vol 18, Issue 2, 2024





Above image, represent the NACA 0012 wing deformation results when it has al-7075 material for stringers and CFRP material for ribs, and it has maximum deformation of 73.663mm and minimum deformation value of 0mm.

Stress

Above image, represent the NACA 0016 wing stress results when it has al-7075 material for stringers and CFRP material for ribs, and it has maximum stress of 367.52Mpa and minimum stress value of 0.35349Mpa.

Safety factor

Ribs: Kevlar

Stringers: al-7075

Deformation

www.ijasem.org

Vol 18, Issue 2, 2024

Safety factor

Ribs: al-7075

Stringers: Kevlar

Deformation

Stress

Safety factor

Tables

| | Deformation(mm) | Stress(Mpa) | Safety factor |
|---|-----------------|-------------|---------------|
| Al-7075 | 54.944 | 354.14 | 1.4203 |
| Cftp (stringers) &al- 7075 (ribs) | 71.549 | 362.63 | 1.3871 |
| Cfrp (ribs) &al-7075 (stringers) | 73.663 | 367.52 | 1.2897 |
| Kevlar (stringers)&al-7075 (ribs) | 51.436 | 347.85 | 1.446 |
| Kevlar (ribs) &al- 7075 (stringers) | 51.303 | 360 | 1.8055 |

Graphs

Deformation

Stress

www.ijasem.org

Vol 18, Issue 2, 2024

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF APPLIED

SCIENCE ENGINEERING AND MANAGEMENT

Safety factor

COMPARISON BETWEEN TWO WING STRUCTURES

Deformation

Stress

4. CONCLUSION

In this project ultra light aircraft wing structure was developed by using CAD TOOL (solid works) and analyzed with CAE TOOL (ANSYS WORK BENCH), in this project we took different wing structuresthose are NACA 0012 and NACA 0016 and calculated their maximum strength values.

Here we consider al-7075 material as existing material for both structures and applied 14Mpa pressure on ribs and calculated results like deformation, stress, safety factor values. In this case NACA 0016 has huge stress (417.03Mpa) compared

with NACA 0012 (354.14Mpa) structure. It means by using NACA 0012 wing structure we can reduce 63Mpa amount of stress it means the strength will increases.

To get more efficient wing structure here we using composite materials also those are CFRP and KEVLAR. In this process first we apply CFRP to stringers and ribs (al-7075) then next CFRP (ribs) & al-7075 (stringers), and we repeat same process for Kevlar & al-7075 materials for both structures

From the results of NACA 0012 wing structure it has high strength to weight ratio in all static loading conditions, finally we can conclude that NACA 0012 wing structure with ribs (Kevlar) stringers (al-7075) materials will increase the strength of the wing structure compare to existing material.

5. REFERENCES

[1] T.V. Baughn and P.F. Packman. "Finite element analysis of an ultralight aircraft", Journal of Aircraft, Vol. 23, No. 1(1986), pp.82-86. [2] Baughn, T. and Johnson, D., "Structural Design Considerations for Ultralight Aircraft," SAE Technical Paper 861388, 1986,doi:10.4271/861388.

[3] Girish S. Kulkarni, A thesis of "Structural Design and Analysis of an Ultralight Airplane", IIT Kanpur, 1987.

[4] ZdobyslawGoraj, "Ultralight wing structure for high altitude long endurance UAV", ICAS 2000 Congress.

[5] William Zimmerman and Howard W. Smith, "Report on test set-up for the structural testing of air mass sunburst in Ultralight aircraft" 2001

[6] L. Pascale, F. Nicolosi, "Design and Aerodynamic analysis of a light twin-engine propeller aircraft" ICAS 2008.

[7] Huiwen Hu and Huaien Kao, "Model Validation of an Ultralight Aircraft Using Experimental Modal Analysis" Journal of Aeronautics, Astronautics and Aviation, Series A, Vol.41, No.4 pp.271 - 282 (2009).
[8] Kesavulu A, F.AnandRaju& Dr. M.L.S. Deva Kumar, "Properties of Aluminium Fly

www.ijasem.org

Vol 18, Issue 2, 2024

Ash Metal Matrix Composite" Vol. 3, Issue 11,November 2014, ISSN: 2319-8753.

[9] William L. KO, Dryden Flight Research Center, Edwards, California "Mechanicaland Thermal-Buckling Behaviour of Rectangular Plates with

Different Central Cutouts", NASA/TM-1998-206542, March 1998.

[10] R.C. Batra, Z. Wei, "Dynamic buckling of a thin thermoviscoplastic rectangular plate", Department of Engineering Science and Mechanics, Virginia

Polytechnic Institute and State University,MC 0219, Blacksburg, VA 24061, USA, November 2004.

[11]J. Purbolaksono, M.H.
Aliabadi."Application of DRBEM for
Evaluating Buckling Problems of Perforated
Thin Plates" European Journal of Scientific
Research ISSN 1450-216X Vol.31 No.3
(2009), pp.398-408

[12] Altair Hypermesh, version 9, user's guide – Altair Engineering Inc., USA